## FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET XI (Revised Jan. 2008)

Agency: Utah	State Office of Education	Bill Numb	er <u>H</u>	I.B. 364 S01	
TITLE OF BILL: "P	Promotion of Health Care C	Coverage" by Holdaway, K.			
Requested by: Patrick Lee		]	Fax/Electronic Mail Transmittal To:		
Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst		Name:			
W310 State Capitol Complex		_			
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310		Date:			
538-1034 / Fax 538-1692		E N 1			
Please return to Fiscal A	Analyst by: Eabrus	Fax Numb	er:		
This Bill Takes Effect:	On passage	On July 1 X 60 Days af	ter session	Other	
Bill Carries Own Approp	oriation:				
	FISCAL IMPA	ACT OF PROPOSED L	EGISLATION		
		FY 2008 Sup		FY 2010	
A. REVENUE IMPACI	Γ BY SOURCE OF FUN		pp.   F1 2009	F 1 2010	
1. General Fund	I DI BOCKEL OI TON				
2. Uniform School Fund	- Education Fund				
3. Transportation Fund					
4. Collections					
5. Other Funds (List Belo	ow)				
6 Local Funds					
7. TOTAL		\$	- \$	- \$ -	
1. General Fund 2. General Fund, One 3. Uniform School Fu 3. Transportation Fur 4. Collections 5. Other Funds (List I	and - Education Fund ad				
5. Other Fullus (List I	Delow)				
6. Local Funds					
7. TOTAL		\$	-   \$	- \$ -	
By Expenditure Catego 1. Salaries, Wages an					
2. Travel	d Delicitis				
3. Current Expenses					
4. D.P. Current Exper	nses				
5. Capital Outlay					
6. D.P. Capital Outlay	y				
7. Other (Specify)					
8. TOTAL		\$	-   \$	-   \$ -	
C. IMPACT IN FUTUE	RE YEARS?				
If no fiscal impact in the	first two years, indicate a	ny impact in future years, and a side, or attachment, if necess		ate any significant changes in	
Randy Raphael	Specialist	USOE	538-7802	2/8/2008	
Prepared By	Title	Agency	Phone #	Date	

D. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase
The portion of the bill that concerns education comprises lines 47-60.
E. Expenditure Impact Details (Ties to totals in Section C)
DATA COLLECTION The original version stated that "the processes [or 'system' in the substitute version] shall be developed in a manner that minimizes costs and maximizes the use of existing data collection processes, including processes to collect certificates of immunization" Use of existing processes would greatly facilitate implementation of the bill. However, just because it might be easy to implement from a technical point of view doesn't mean adding a question about health insurance status to an existing data collection form or report would produce valid data. It is a highly sensitive question, and schools could not make the provision of educational services dependent on its being answered, so many would leave it blank because they view it as inappropriate for an educational agency to ask, and many others would falsely answer "yes" to avoid embarrassment because it is socially desirable to have such insurance. LEGAL PROBLEM The big issue is that the bill violates federal FERPA (and probably also HIPAA) and so could therefore entail costs to the state in the form of fines, loss of federal funds and even lawsuits, if the cognizant agencies were actually to implement it. Simply put, unless parents provide consent, information about their children from student records cannot be shared by educational agencies with Workforce Services or even local health departments.
F. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?
Implementation costs would be nominal as far as education is concerned.
G. If Bill Carries Its Own Appropriation:
G. If Diff Carries its Own Appropriation.
H. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals
This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future

This fiscal note input draft does not imply endorsement of this bill by the State Board of Education or USOE.

Bill Title: Promotion of Health Care Coverage

**Bill Number:** 

H.B. 364 S01